

**Poisson Regression for the Medfly Data**

```
> # first fit linear regression to logA_1, to get starting values
> reg.1 = lm(logA_1 ~ south + loc_host.south + alt + dist)
```

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )	
(Intercept)	2.7320340	0.1807736	15.113	< 2e-16	***
south	-0.8103601	0.2066947	-3.921	0.000180	***
loc_host.south	0.7214667	0.2210740	3.263	0.001593	**
alt	0.0002521	0.0005232	0.482	0.631178	
dist	-0.0347156	0.0094032	-3.692	0.000395	***

---

# reg.1 uses log<sub>10</sub> and glm uses ln, so adjust scales

```
reg.1.start = log(10) * reg.1$coeff
```

	south	loc_host.south	alt	dist
(Intercept)	6.2907407616	-1.8659230582	1.6612385214	0.0005804539 -0.0799357257

now fit Poisson regression

```
poisson.1 = glm(A ~ south + loc_host.south + alt + dist,
family = poisson(link=log),
start=reg.1.start)
```

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z )	
(Intercept)	7.025e+00	8.050e-03	872.732	< 2e-16	***
south	-1.420e+00	1.771e-02	-80.207	< 2e-16	***
loc_host.south	1.306e+00	1.865e-02	70.009	< 2e-16	***
alt	1.514e-04	3.898e-05	3.885	0.000102	***
dist	-5.152e-02	7.733e-04	-66.617	< 2e-16	***

---

Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 7

```
> # what if we start with log(mean) and zeros?
```

```
> mean.start = c(log(mean(A)), 0, 0, 0, 0)
```

```
[1] 6.072863 0.000000 0.000000 0.000000 0.000000
```

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z )	
(Intercept)	7.025e+00	8.050e-03	872.734	< 2e-16	***
south	-1.420e+00	1.771e-02	-80.208	< 2e-16	***
loc_host.south	1.306e+00	1.865e-02	70.010	< 2e-16	***
alt	1.514e-04	3.898e-05	3.885	0.000102	***
dist	-5.152e-02	7.733e-04	-66.618	< 2e-16	***

---

Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 5

## A comparison of linear and Poisson regression results

```
> summary(reg.1)
```

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )	
(Intercept)	2.7320340	0.1807736	15.113	< 2e-16	***
south	-0.8103601	0.2066947	-3.921	0.000180	***
loc_host.south	0.7214667	0.2210740	3.263	0.001593	**
alt	0.0002521	0.0005232	0.482	0.631178	
dist	-0.0347156	0.0094032	-3.692	0.000395	***

---

Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 0.7955 on 84 degrees of freedom  
Multiple R-squared: 0.3387, Adjusted R-squared: 0.3072  
F-statistic: 10.76 on 4 and 84 DF, p-value: 4.359e-07

```
> summary(poisson.1)
```

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z )	
(Intercept)	7.025e+00	8.050e-03	872.732	< 2e-16	***
south	-1.420e+00	1.771e-02	-80.207	< 2e-16	***
loc_host.south	1.306e+00	1.865e-02	70.009	< 2e-16	***
alt	1.514e-04	3.898e-05	3.885	0.000102	***
dist	-5.152e-02	7.733e-04	-66.617	< 2e-16	***

---

Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

(Dispersion parameter for poisson family taken to be 1)

Null deviance: 70797 on 88 degrees of freedom  
Residual deviance: 47739 on 84 degrees of freedom  
AIC: 48299

- coefficients are much too significant  
- probably need to allow for overdispersion; i.e. do not assume dispersion parameter ( $\phi$ ) equals 1, but estimate it. will do this later using quasi-likelihood.

```
> mean(A)
```

```
[1] 433.9213
```

```
> var(A)
```

```
[1] 464220.7
```

```
> var(A) / mean(A)
```

```
[1] 1069.827
```

this ratio should be close to 1 for a Poisson distribution